

EXHIBIT 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JANE DOE, an individual using)
a pseudonym,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) CASE NO.
) 3:19-cv-03310-JSC
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)
et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
_____)

REMOTE PROCEEDINGS OF THE
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
BY: MATTHEW BAKER, PMQ
VOLUME I
MONDAY, AUGUST 9, 2021

Stenographically Reported by:
LISA RICHARDSON, RMR, CRR, RPR, CSR No. 5883

Job No. 4692763

PAGES 1 - 319

Page 1

1 A Yes.

2 Q Did Uber reactivate him on probation?

3 A Can you clarify the terminology?

4 Q Well, was his status, for example, as

5 reflected in Exhibit 4, any different than his 11:08:09

6 status as it had been before this report of a sexual

7 assault?

8 MS. HUSSEY: Objection; vague.

9 THE WITNESS: When we are referring to

10 "Status" here, I was looking at Exhibit 4 in the 11:08:22

11 second column, the Status was put back to Active.

12 So that active status is the same active status as

13 it was prior to the report. There's no indication

14 within the Status of any type of probation or

15 anything of that nature. 11:08:39

16 BY MS. PETERS:

17 Q And on January 5 when he had been
18 deactivated for a short time, Mr. Sherman was unable
19 to log in to the driver app, right?

20 A Yes. 11:08:52

21 Q On January 6th when he was reactivated, he
22 could again log in to the driver app, right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And on January 6th when he was reactivated,

25 Mr. Sherman could again accept rides that he was 11:09:04

1 matched with through the Uber app, right?

2 A Yes.

3 MS. HUSSEY: Objection; vague.

4 BY MS. PETERS:

5 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

9 Q But he could be matched with any other

10 riders, including women as well as men, right? 11:09:27

11 A Yes.

12 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 11:09:39

16 Q And Uber did not put any warnings on

17 Mr. Sherman's account that would be received by

18 riders that he was picking up regarding the fact

19 that he had been accused of a sexual assault, true?

20 MS. HUSSEY: Objection; vague, lacks 11:09:52

21 foundation.

22 THE WITNESS: Could you ask the question

23 again? I want to make sure I understand.

24 BY MS. PETERS:

25 Q On January 6th when Mr. Sherman was allowed 11:10:01

STENOGRAPHIC COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, the undersigned, a Stenographic
Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of
California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken
before me remotely; that any witnesses in the
foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were
duly sworn; that a verbatim record of the
proceedings was made by me using stenographic
machine shorthand, to the best of my ability, which
was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that
the foregoing transcript is an accurate
transcription thereof.

I further certify I am neither financially
interested in the action nor a relative or employee
of any attorney or any of the parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
subscribed my name.

Dated: August 19, 2021

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Lisa Richardson", is written over a horizontal line.

LISA RICHARDSON, RPR, CRR, RMR

CSR No. 5883